arXiv:1611.02978v1 [stat.ME] 6 Nov 2016

Evaluating Gaussian processes for sparse irregular spatio-temporal data

Mehmet Süzen *and **Abed Ajraou**

Editor: na

Abstract

A practical approach to evaluate performance of a Gaussian process regression models (GPR) for irregularly sampled sparse time-series is introduced. The approach entails construction of a secondary autoregressive model using the fine scale predictions to forecast a future observation used in GPR. We build different GPR models for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck and Fractional processes for simulated toy data with different sparsity levels to assess the utility of the approach.

Keywords: Gaussian Processes, autoregressive models.

1. Introduction

Time-series analysis is very common subject that manifest itself in sciences and in industry [Hamilton (1994)]. Temporal data rarely available in regular intervals and with sufficient sample size, i.e., sparse, irregularly occured and noisy [Richards et al. (2011)]. In these circumstances, standard modelling techniques would not be appropriate. Gaussian proceses provide a powerful alternative [Williams and Rasmussen (2006)], where a prior knowledge can be used without any restrictions on regularity or sparsity on the temporal data [Roberts et al. (2013)]. But a measure of goodness of fit would be an issue in this setting. A usual approach is to measure goodness of fit by comparing the results based on a gold standard result. Here we propose an approach to measure performance of GP without resorting to a gold standard result in sparse time-series via building a secondary model based on the resulting.

2. Gaussian Processes

A short sketch of the machinery of the Gaussian Processes [Williams and Rasmussen (2006)] is presented as follows. The starting point for Gaussian process is to define an arbitrary function that explains the outcome with a variance σ_y and noise ϵ_t,

$$y(t) = f(t) + \sigma_y \epsilon_t$$

The primary approach in GP is that training time-series (y, x) and (y^*, x^*) the time-series that is to be learned can be expressed in a joint distribution, which is a multivariate Gaussian distribution,

∗. Correspondence E-mail: mehmet.suzen@physics.org

$$p(y, y^*) = \mathcal{N}\Big(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ x^* \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} K_{xx} & K_{xx^*} \\ K_{x^*x} & K_{x^*x^*} \end{bmatrix} \Big)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{nxb}$ and $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^{mxd}$, where n and m are number of rows and d is the number of predictors, the Kernel matrices can be computed as follows, in multivariate setting,

$$K_{i,j}(x_i, x_j) = -\sigma^2 \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{k=1}^{d} \Big(\frac{x_i^k - x_j^k}{l_d} \Big)^{\alpha_d} \right)$$

$i = 1, .., n$ and $j = 1, .., m$, where d is the number of features or variates at each time point. Kernel choice here is not unique but this is a generalised form of the square exponential kernel. Hyperparameters $(\sigma^2, \beta, l, \alpha)$ can be interpreted as signal variance, scaling factor, length scale and roughnes on the time-series respectively. Hence, GP as a function approximation can be obtained in a closed form, the mean function and the covariance matrix,

$$\begin{aligned} L &= (K_{xx} + \sigma^2 \mathbb{I})^{-1} \\ \bar{y^*} &= K_{x^*x} L^{-1} y \\ y^*_{cov} &= K_{x^*x^*} - K_{x^*x} L^{-1} K_{xx^*} \end{aligned}$$

Note that y^* is the observations that is to be learned from the training data.

3. Evaluation Technique

A variable of interest y appears regularly over time. A temporal evolution of y can be expressed with ordered set $\Omega^* = (t_i^*, y_i^*)$, where $i = 1, .., n$. Only a subset of Ω^* may be observed in irregularly spaced intervals and not too frequently, i.e., sparse. These sparse observations of y appear in the subset $\Omega = (t_j, y_k)$, where $\{k \in \{1, ..n\}\}$, as an ordered sequence but irregular, i.e., irregular time-series. Building a Gaussian Process model to construct the original time series Ω^* using the partial information Ω is one of the most promising approach for sparse irregular temporal data [Richards et al. (2011)]. The resulting series can be denoted by $\Omega^*_{gp} = (t_i^*, Y_i^*)$.

Performance of the resulting reconstruction Ω^*_{gp} usually measured against a gold standard method [Roberts et al. (2013)]. We propose using a secondary Autoregressive Model (AR) based on the resulting set Ω^*_{gp} is proposed. Construction of $n-1$ different autoregressive models to predict observation points y_j. Procedure is as follows.

1. Fixed a horizon h, for Autoregressive model prediction.
2. Select the $m-1$ subsets of Ω^*_{gp} each up to a point y_j, $j_k - h$, denoting each subset, $(\Omega^*_{gp})_k$, where $k = 2, .., m$.
3. Build an AR model for each $(\Omega^*_{gp})_k$ and predict next y_k as y_k^{ar}.
4. Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE-AR) can be computed, $M = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_m^{k=2} (y_k - y_k^{ar})/y_k$

MAPE-AR value will quantify the goodness-of-fit for GP regression without resorting to a gold standard method.



Figure 1: Simulated Ornstein-Uhlenbeck and fractional processes and with 3 percent sparsity level. Two functions are drawn from the resulting GP regression.

	3% sparsity	5% sparsity	7% sparsity
Ornstein-Uhlenbeck	0.0049	0.0051	0.0067
Fractional	0.0042	0.0056	0.0057

Figure 2: MAPE-AR measure of goodness-of-fit results for different sparsity levels.

3.1 Simulated Data

A pair of toy data is generated, using generalised form of the square exponential kernel, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck and Fractional process with Kernel hyperparameters $(\alpha, \beta, l, \sigma)$, $(1.0, 1.0, 2.0, 1.0)$ and $(1.3, 1.0, 2.0, 1.0)$ respectively. Simulated data contains 351 observations points with regular time spacing of 0.02. New subset of observations generated by randomly selecting 3, 5 and 7 percent of the simulated data, at least 5 time-steps apart. This constitutes different sparsity levels.

3.2 Experiments

We fit Gaussian Process on these sparse data sets using square exponential kernel. Results for 3 percent sparse data sets are shown on the Figure 1.

Using seasonal $ARIMA(1, 1, 1)(1, 1, 1)$ as a secondary autoregressive model, MAPE-AR measure is summarized in Figure 2, for demonstration purposes.

4. Summary

A technique to measure goodness-of-fit in Gaussian processes for sparse temporal data is proposed based on building secondary autoregressive model to construct the regularly space data. In our emprical investigation we have demonstrated the utility of the approach using simulated data.

References

James Douglas Hamilton. *Time series analysis*, volume 2. Princeton university press Princeton, 1994.

Joseph W Richards, Dan L Starr, Nathaniel R Butler, Joshua S Bloom, John M Brewer, Arien Crellin-Quick, Justin Higgins, Rachel Kennedy, and Maxime Rischard. On machine-learned classification of variable stars with sparse and noisy time-series data. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 733(1):10, 2011.

Stephen Roberts, M Osborne, M Ebden, Steven Reece, N Gibson, and S Aigrain. Gaussian processes for time-series modelling. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A*, 371(1984):20110550, 2013.

Christopher KI Williams and Carl Edward Rasmussen. Gaussian processes for machine learning. *the MIT Press*, 2(3):4, 2006.